DANIEL BOGDEN
United States Attorney
MICHAEL CHU
Assistant United States Attorney
333 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 5000
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 388-6336

5

6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	-oOo-	
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CRIMINAL INDICTMENT
10	Plaintiff,	2:11-CR- <u>022</u>
11	v. (VIOLATIONS:
12	JOHN KANE, and ANDRE NESTOR,	18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud
13 14	Defendants.	18 U.S.C. §§ 1030(a)(4) and (c)(3)(A) Computer Fraud
15	THE GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:	
16	At all times relevant to this Indictment:	
17	Introduction	
18	 From in or about April 2009, to in or about September 2009, John Kane and 	
19	Andre Nestor used an exploit on video poker machines to defraud casinos and win money	
20	to which they were not entitled. In doing so, they exceeded their authorized access on these	
21	devices and acted to conceal their fraud.	
22	COUNT ONE	
23	Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud	
24	The allegations set forth in the Introduction are re-alleged and incorporated	
25	as if fully set forth herein.	
26		

3. From in or about April 2009, to in or about September 2009, in the State and Federal District of Nevada and elsewhere,

JOHN KANE, and ANDRE NESTOR.

defendants herein, did knowingly and willfully combine, conspire, and agree with others known and unknown to the grand jury to commit the crime of wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

The Objectives of the Conspiracy

4. The objective of the conspiracy was to obtain money by defrauding gaming machines at casinos.

Manner and Means of the Conspiracy

- 5. It was part of the conspiracy that John Kane and Andre Nestor knowingly and intentionally defrauded gaming machines at casinos to obtain money that they were not entitled to obtain.
- 6. It was further part of the conspiracy that in Las Vegas, Kane located a certain type of video poker gaming machine.
- 7. It was further part of the conspiracy that Kane asked casino attendants to enable a certain feature on that gaming machine.
- 8. It was further part of the conspiracy that Kane played video poker, often wagering at the lowest denomination, until he obtained a winning hand of cards and received the winnings.
- 9. It was further part of the conspiracy that Kane then used the exploit to change the credits to a higher denomination, and to access the previous winning hand of cards.
- 10. It was further part of the conspiracy that Kane, without playing or paying further, then triggered a jackpot at the higher denomination.

COUNT THREE Fraud in Connection with Computers 17. The allegations set forth in the Introduction and in paragraphs 2 to 14 are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set forth herein. 18. From in or about April 2009, to in or about July 2009, in the State and Federal District of Nevada, ANDRE NESTOR, defendant herein, did knowingly and with intent to defraud access a protected computer exceeding his authorized access and by means of such conduct furthered the intended fraud and obtained something of value, specifically, money, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1030(a)(4) and (c)(3)(A).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION ONE Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud

- 1. The allegations contained in Count One of this Criminal Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
- 2. Upon conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count One of this Criminal Indictment.

JOHN KANE, and ANDRE NESTOR,

defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343, a specified unlawful activity as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, a conspiracy to commit such offense, an *in personam* criminal forfeiture money judgment up to \$1,500,000.00 in United States Currency.

Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants-

3.

- a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;

If any property subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code.

- has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
- d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
- e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without difficulty;

 it is the intent of the United States of America, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up to \$1,500,000.00 in United States Currency.

All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343, a specified unlawful activity as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWO Fraud in Connection with Computers

- 1. The allegations contained in Counts Two and Three of this Criminal Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
- 2. Upon conviction of the felony offenses charged in Counts Two and Three of this Criminal Indictment,

JOHN KANE, and ANDRE NESTOR,

defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1030(a)(4) and (c)(3)(A), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, an *in personam* criminal forfeiture money judgment up to \$1,500,000.00 in United States Currency.

3. If any property subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants-

- a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
- c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
- d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
- has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States of America, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up to \$1,500,000.00 in United States Currency.

All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1030(a)(4) and (c)(3)(A); and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION THREE Fraud in Connection with Computers

- 1. The allegations contained in Counts Two and Three of this Criminal Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
- 2. Upon conviction of the felony offenses charged in Counts Two and Three of this Criminal Indictment.

JOHN KANE, and ANDRE NESTOR,

defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1030(a)(4) and (c)(3)(A), a specified unlawful activity as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such

17i

offenses, an *in personam* criminal forfeiture money judgment up to \$1,500,000.00 in United States Currency.

- 3. If any property subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants
 - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
 - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
 - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
 - e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States of America, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up to \$1,500,000.00 in United States Currency.

All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1030(a)(4) and (c)(3)(A), a specified unlawful activity as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B); and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION FOUR Fraud in Connection with Computers

- 1. The allegations contained in Counts Two and Three of this Criminal Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(B).
- 2. Upon conviction of the felony offenses charged in Counts Two and Three of this Criminal Indictment.

1 JOHN KANE, and ANDRE NESTOR. 2 3 defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or 4 derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of violations of Title 18. 5 United States Code, Section 1030(a)(4) and (c)(3)(A), or a conspiracy to violate such 6 offense, an in personam criminal forfeiture money judgment up to \$1,500,000.00 in United 7 States Currency. 8 3. If any property subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, 9 Section 982(a)(2)(B), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants-10 a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; 11 has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party; b. 12 has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court: C. 13 d. has been substantially diminished in value; or 14 has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided e. 15 without difficulty: it is the intent of the United States of America, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code. 16 17 Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up to \$1,500,000.00 18 in United States Currency. All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(B); Title 18, United 19 20 States Code, Section 1030(a)(4) and (c)(3)(A); and Title 21, United States Code, Section 21. 853(p). DATED: this __/_ day of January, 2011. 22 23 A TRUE BILL: /s/ FOREPERSON OF THE GRAND JURY 24 DANIEL BOGDEN United States Attorney 25 26 CHU Assistant United States Attorney